

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS  
TEXARKANA DIVISION

GEORGIA HENSLEY, Individually  
and as Class Representative on Behalf  
of All Similarly Situated Persons, et  
al.

PLAINTIFFS

VS.

Case No. 05-CV-4034

COMPUTER SCIENCES CORPORATION,  
et al.

DEFENDANTS

**ORDER**

The Court is aware of some confusion among some Defendants regarding requirements of filing an amended answer in response to summons without a complaint received from Plaintiffs subsequent to service of Plaintiffs' Fifth Amended Complaint and summons. The Court will not require or accept an amended answer of any Defendant who has already filed an answer to Plaintiffs' Fifth Amended Complaint. Additional or amended answers will only be required and accepted if the Court grants leave to file another amended complaint or if the Court grants leave to file an amended answer upon motion of a Defendant.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2005.

/s/ Harry F. Barnes  
Hon. Harry F. Barnes  
U.S. District Court